

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF FINANCE CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES DIRECTORATE OF INCOME TAX (HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT) ICADR Building, Plot No. 6, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area Phase-II New Delhi

F. No. HRD/CM/220/14/2013-14/4275

Dated: 29-09-2014

All Principal Chief Commissioner of Income Tax (CCA), Andhra Pradesh/Gujrat/Mumbai/Pune/Nagpur/Delhl/NWR/ NER/UP(E)/UP(W)/West Bengal/Odisha/Bihar/Rajasthan/MP&CG/ Kerala/Karnatka/Tamilnadu.

Sir/Madam,

Subject: Implementation of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of NR Parmar (CA No. 7514-7515 and others) - Issue of Advisory – Regarding –

The matter of drawing up of inter se seniority at the Group C cadres has been delegated to the respective Pr. CCIT(CCA)s by the CBDT. Various disputes relating to inter-se seniority between Direct Recruit (DR) and Promotee Recruit (PR) Inspectors of Income Tax (ITI) in certain Pr. CCIT(CCA) Regions had arisen on account of seniority lists prepared by various CCAs. The determination of the year of appointment of a Direct Recruit for purposes of seniority has now been settled by the hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "Union of India & others vs NR Parmar & Others" vide its Order dated 27-11-2012.

2. Letters have been received in the CBDT from various CCAs pointing out difficulties in the implementation of the decision and seeking directions/clarifications on the mode of implementation to be adopted. Representations have also been received from CCsIT(CCA), ITGOA and ITEF, as well as from individual employees, seeking an early implementation of the judgement.

3. Letters were issued by the Member (P&V), CBDT seeking inputs from the all Pr. CCsIT (CCA) in the matter. Based on the inputs received, extensive consultations have been carried out by Pr. DGIT(HRD) on behalf of CBDT, with representatives of Pr. CCsIT(CCAs) and the ITGOA and ITEF. Minutes of the meetings held on 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2013 and 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 in the course of consultation process have been circulated to all the participants. No dissent note has been received from the participants against the Minutes of meetings.

formin

4. After consultations, the following Advisory based on the common queries of the Pr. CCsIT(CCA) and other issues that surfaced during the consultation process, are being issued in a FAQ format for uniform implementation of the revision of seniority lists in all Regions in the country, as follows :

.no.	Issues	Draft response
. no.	Issues Will the seniority of the Direct Recr reckon from the year of vacancy or t year in which the intimation has be sent to SSC or the year in which t exam was conducted or the year selection.	uit The SC judgement is clear that the seniority of the DR would arise from the year in which the requisition has been sent to SSC. of However, in the case before the Supreme Court, both the year of requisition and the vacancy year were the same; The Apex Court Is silent on the situation that would arise if the years were different. In case requisition has been made in advance (i.e. before of the year in which the vacancy arises), then the vacancy year shall be adopted as the year of seniority. In case requisition has been made after the vacancy arises, then it is the year of such requisition that is material. Such ar interpretation would not run contrary to the decision of the Supreme Court as clearly, wherever the letter o requisition preceded the vacancy year the vacancy itself did not exist in the year of requisition. Thus the year o vacancy and year of requisition mus be read together. Of course, the SC has explicitly hele that the year of examination or th year of appointment/joining is of m relevance for this purpose. Similarly the date of advertisement by SS would have no relevance. Further, the year of requisition will b the year in which the requisition has been sent to the SSC. The year in which
	12~ 12 - 11 - 22	requisition has been made by th CCsIT(CCA) to the CBDT is not relevant
		1 1 - 100
2	From which date would implementation of the Supreme C decision be made applicable?	

-Pari-

		be decided under the DoPT OMs dt 7.2.1986/3.7.1986 till 27.11.2012. Thus review DPCs for all DPCs conducted till 27.11.2012 would be held as per DoPT OMs dt 7.2.1986/3.7.1986. After 27.11.2012, the DoPT OM dt 04.03.2014 would be applicable. DoPT has advised that the NR Parmar judgement is applicable to the post of inspectors.			
\$	The dates of requisition letters informed by the Board to the SSC year- wise are not known to the CCIT(CCA)s	Till the vacancy year 1991-92, CCIT(CCA)s were requisitioning the Direct Recruits directly from SSC. The dates of requisition letters (vacancy year-wise), as intimated by CCIT(CCA) to SSC, should be available from their records. In the subsequent years, the notification of vacancies were made to SSC by the Board. The dates of the requisition letter in respect of the various vacancy years, along with the year to which seniority of Direct Recruit ITIs relates to, are given in Annexure 1.			
5	How to deal with cases of inter-charge transfers and with those who have worked earlier in other Departments/PSUs?	separate CBDT instructions on the matter.			
6	CCIT, Nagpur became CCA only with effect from 2001, and no records are available for the earlier years.	from SSC may be examined from their personal records to ascertain the Year of examination and accordingly the date of letter of requisition can be determined.			
7	Can refixation of inter se seniority be done without holding a Review DPC?	OM no. 22011/5/86-Estt. (D) dt. 10.4.89. Accordingly, it would be necessary to conduct Review DPC.			
8	Whether ADRP would fall in the purview of Para 2.4.4 of the OM of 1986 I.e., whether under reporting/ ne reporting of DR vacancy due to ADR would lead to Promotees bein bunched together on ad hoc basis.	e Since Para 2.4.4 of the OM was n of subject matter of the Supreme Cou o judgment, this is not required to i P dealt with here.			

Por .

5. This advisory is being issued for enabling all Pr. CCsIT(CCA) to carry out the process of refixing of Seniority Lists of Inspectors of Income Tax (ITI) in their Regions in accordance with the Supreme Court judgement, to be followed uniformly by all Pr. CCsIT(CCA) Regions for the purpose of uniformity and consistency. It may be noted that the Implementation relates to assignment of correct Recruitment Year to Direct Recruits in the ITI cadre. All other provisions of the extant OMs of DoPT relating to fixing of inter-se seniority between Direct Recruits and Promotees (other than the OM dated 30-03-2008 which has been quashed by the Supreme Court) have to be followed.

6. The Pr. CCsIT(CCAs) are advised to form a Task Force in their respective Regions for early implementation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court decision as per the Advisory and to complete the exercise of conducting review DPCs latest by 10.10.2014.

This issues with prior approval of Chairman, CBDT.

Yours falltfully, (NITIN GARG) Deputy Director of Income Tax (HRD)

Encl: As above.

7.

1.1

Copy to

- 1. The Web Manager, <u>www.irsofficersonline.gov.in</u>, with request to upload the letter and annexure on the website.
- 2. The Web Manager, <u>www.incometaxIndia.gov.in</u>, with request to upload the letter and annexure on the website.

Deputy Director of Income Tax

## LETTERS OF REQUISITION SENT TO SSC

## For ITI level recruitment

1 - intel

29/09/14 14:38

....

ANNEXURE 1

29/09/14 14:38

and in

HP LASERJET FAX

p.06

	23	22	5.no.
	2013-14	2012-13	Vacancy Year
	17.12.2013	12.10.2012	Date of requisition letter
	2013	2012	Examination year
012-13	HRD/CM/127/07/2	HRD/CM/127/14/2 011-12	File no.
		Seniority of DRs is of 2012-13	Recruitment year of Direct Recruits
 Server try, recorded a splite		e*10	Remarks
cter.			

.....

w